# JOHN CROUCH

### Unwritten

If you weren't in my dream Then you'll never get
What this poem is about.
And now I forget
The dream myself,
Since I've taken the time
To kindly explain to you
Why you don't get it.
I'll tell you what—
I'll skip the writing
If you'll agree
To stop reading now.

God, what a fine piece of work! So deep in my mind,
Ultimate obscurity
Triple-sealed by not writing,
Not telling, forgetting.
It was delicious,
So dense,
And so self-reverential.

# Flat Tax?

Poor woman
Puts in her two cents—
God approves.

#### The Madmen and the Law

The madmen and madwomen pound every morning On my e-mail box, and show me the wounds The law has ripped in them and their families.

After ten years of this, I sit down with my father, A veteran of the last revolution—
Defender of Abbie Hoffman, free-speakers,
Druggies and conscientious objectors,
Indians with imprecise objectives,
Victims of police brutality,
Prosecutorial witch-hunts,
And child-abuse hysteria,
Of innocent parents whose kids were taken
And molested in backwoods foster-homes—
And I ask for advice on my own revolution,
Which he believes in, sometimes, more than I.

"The first people you get to fight the oppression," He explains, "are always so warped by it They can't function, damn near sink their own cases, But you start with them, if you start at all."

#### Will

A man called to make an appointment, and since He lived down the street, I said come on over. He had no children, and he wanted to change His will, which named his old friend Judge Smith (The dean of our bar) as executor, And left everything to nature groups, To insert, as his heir and executor, And holder of his Power of Attorney, A young man who was like a son to him.

At these times you think about future fights Over whether the person making the will Was in his right mind, but this fellow was Sharper than me at 75, The crusty, rock-hard New England type And I noted he knew just what he was doing.

His Power of Attorney needed replacement, But a codicil to his will would suffice, So I told him I'd do them "while you wait" For as long as it took, at my hourly rate. I sat down to work and pounded them out, Making the cut and the graft clean and tight; Lubricating some sticky points; Fixing inelegances in the will, Where its lifelong, living meaning Was welded to ephemeral, brittle things Like street addresses, that sort of thing (The Nature Conservancy, named in the will, Had moved up the road to the man's neighborhood). He was pleased with my skill, and I was pleased That someone like him thought I'd done well. He signed it and left. Total time, point-four Hours, and so total fee, eighty dollars.

A few times I wondered whether one day I'd be in a court fight with my friend Jon, the Nature Conservancy lawyer. Instead, a year later, the old man Strode into the street, right around the corner From the Conservancy, and the subway stop, And his condo—I can see how straight He held himself, with confident step And critical gaze—in a little side street With not much traffic, a car ran through And knocked him down.

His heir came to see me, confused and panicked, Telling me how his friend was in surgery, On his vertebrae and spinal cord; And of possible damage to his brain, Which was so traumatized they couldn't operate Until the swelling went down—He could see, hear, respond, but couldn't speak. Weeks later, he was slightly improved—They could operate, but as they got done, His oxygen mask exploded and burned—They moved him to rehab, where he lingered A few more weeks, and died.

Meanwhile my office-mates were suing The driver, talking to witnesses Who saw brief movements, heard loud noises, But couldn't remember what happened first; As the months went on, the medical bills Approached the size of his whole estate, And the heir ran around, trying to deal With paying the bills, which required abundant Copies of my Power of Attorney, And after the man died, when he looked for The original of the codicil, He thought it was somewhere in the condo, But he finally decided he'd probably left it In a Xerox machine at the county library. Weeks before. Well, the law says a will That disappeared in its maker's lifetime Is presumed to have been destroyed on purpose, To cancel it, and revive the old will. Even though there was no reason to think The old man had done that, or wanted to do it.

There are many lawyers whose worlds revolve Around the courtroom, for whom its rules, The rights upheld there, are the great things in life. But my work is more about contracts and wills, And the warp core of all the work that I love Is that people can say things, Sign things, and mean them, Be bound by their words. So any attack on a contract or will Strikes at the value of all of my work. So I was ticked off, but that didn't change What the law said, or the fact that my work Had been lost, then discarded by whoever found it.

So not only was the inheritance unclear, But the court couldn't tell who should take charge As executor, and continue the suit Against the driver—and the heir and Judge Smith Couldn't agree what to do with the body. Judge Smith was concerned with preserving evidence Of the original injury, and medical malpractice, But the heir just wanted his friend to have peace And repose, which he did, for some weeks At the funeral home. And I put in hours On hysterical calls from the heir, and his pleas For me to step in as executor, And also re-authenticating All the copies of the papers I'd drafted, And finally I was called as a witness At a hearing with four other lawyers, Mostly old friends and colleagues of mine, Representing the dueling executors. A forestry group, and a lawyer appointed To represent unknown heirs, if any. More hearings and depositions were set. I waited a few months, and the day before My deposition downtown, I called The heir's lawyer, who told me the case had settled— The law was unfriendly, the facts hard to prove. The inheritance was kind of a windfall, So they'd split what was left, roughly three ways: Some to the young man, some back to Nature, And some to Judge Smith's favorite charity. We all thought the old man would approve.

I'm glad I did those point-four hours, And all the free work it entailed. I stood up for the guy and helped him do What he wanted with his life and his loved ones.

#### Crowder v. Commonwealth

41 Va. App. 658, 588 S.E.2d 384 (Va. App. 2003)

Crowder drove his brother's ZR-2 Chevy truck into a barley field, Did donuts, driving in pure freedom Like the pioneers back before there were roads, Or the SUVs in the TV commercials, Free to steer where he fancied From one second to another, The track behind no bind on the way ahead. The barley was ten days away from harvest, Meant for the brewery down by Williamsburg, And the farmer said he ruined the entire crop. "Felony destruction of property" was the charge. Like the laws on theft, they go by the dollar value, And it's a felony if it's over a thousand dollars. The indictment stated the value as two thousand. The DA began his questioning of the farmer: "Now, sir, the defendant was indicted For destroying property worth two thousand dollars"— "Objection: leading." "Sustained. If that's a question, It's a leading one: contains, suggests, its answer. And this witness can't tell us what the indictment says. Let's get a move on, and hear what he has to say." The DA, unfazed, proceeds to do just that, And moves along to ask the farmer how He arrived at his opinion of the value, Being careful here to do what lawyers call "Laying the foundation"—a witness can't just say That something's so, until he's testified To how he knows it's so. He did this well. The farmer said he'd talked to a couple other Farmers, who both gave him the same figure. And having moved the dialogue right along, Just as the judge desired, the DA Concluded, "No further questions." Then the jury, Pleased with this efficient examination That was not derailed in spite of the objection, Convicted the defendant. The DA Went home and told the story of this case,

Which seemed a throwback to ancient legal history, When courts could only deal with land-rights cases, And a special kind of trespassing, that in which Crops were trampled, allowed awards of damages, And began to let courts give relief for torts, If they could somehow be labeled as a "trespass." He thought the case had passed into that history, But Corwin appealed, and we must overturn. The witness never built on his "foundation"— The jury had no evidence at all Of the value of the crop, which was a key Element of the crime, as per the statute. Though the owner of the crop could have Given an admissible lay opinion On its value, the fact is, he never did. The Commonwealth says "a reasonable inference" Could be drawn from the DA's mention of the indictment, And the witness's failure to contradict its numbers. But supposition as a substitute for evidence Pushes the concept of reasonable inferences Into the realm of non sequitur. An inference is the conclusion of one fact From other facts in evidence—the completing Of a chain—not beginning one from scratch! We reverse the felony conviction, but note That the farmer clearly testified To some damage, and so we remand For trial on a lesser included offense, Intentional property damage, which requires

No threshold dollar amount.

#### Smith v. United States

507 U.S. 197, 113 S. Ct. 1178, 122 L.Ed. 2d 548 (U.S. Supreme Court, 1993)

#### THE VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT:

One trail traversed the Antarctic waste, and knowing I could not travel it and be my own trend-setter, I barely stood a second, then I leapt with haste, to make another, just as good, and having quite the better claim, for it was mine—I'm no mere follower; and the blank-slate landscape was all the same; and because no sign said "WARNING! HOLLOWER ICE THIS WAY! IT MAY COLLAPSE!" Rejoicing, onward, with barbaric yaps I blazed an easy shortcut, a threefold improvement on the winding path of old, worn by superstitious dead white men, and rutted by the ignorant since then.

My two companions followed, and we three made a straight, clean path from A to B; but, after stopping briefly for a bite, one took a step—and disappeared from sight! I took the same step, thinking I would see if it would have the same effect on me, and fell into an icy cave. "Alas!" I cried. "I fear this must be some crevasse! Oh, why did no one tell us? Why no sign, or other warning, that we must confine our steps to pathways that are tried and true? Friends, tell my wife I love her, and to sue my country, for whose contractor I work, which failed to warn me that such chasms lurk beneath the childlike, innocent fields of snow that look so smooth. For how was I to know?" Before relief could come, my life was lost and with my dying breath I cursed the frost. This was a place I would not visit twice: this albatrosses' haunt, with caves of ice!

### REHNQUIST, C. J.:

The Federal Tort Claims Act, which lets one sue the United States, as an exception to its otherwise total sovereign immunity, says "Use the local law of the community." 'Tis right we're bound by Rome's law when in Rome: conditions differ from those we knew at home.

The local law was that of Antarctica, a sovereignless expanse that knows no law but that of nature, red in tooth and claw, and that of the Antarctic Treaty, which suspends all nations' claims upon that rich country. The law of nature says, "Too bad. If you don't know my laws, you'll wish you had."

And the Act says it does not cover torts arising in "a foreign country." This is not surprising.

Sovereign immunity is a trusty, crucial shield; construing exceptions, we must not stray afield.

The common meaning of "country" is any great expanse of land, not just a nation-state; from "Marlboro Country" to "that undiscovered country"—strict construction must no silly wordplay-stunt be!

We lawyers know crevasses do abound and lie in wait for those who'd break new ground. In drafting pleadings, contracts, statutes, wills, trusts and court orders, we seek no novel thrills, but stick to whatever path across the marsh has worked before, to avoid perils harsh—known and unknown, forgotten and renowned. The law enshrines each long-established use, and innocence of law is no excuse.

#### STEVENS, J., DISSENTING:

And such would be the law, in lawyer-land. But tort law's yardstick is "The Reasonable Man," not The Smug Lawyer, who with convert's zeal ridicules all those who still dare feel what he himself felt, all too recently, because they haven't earned a law degree.

Lincoln said one of government's holiest labors is "to render prompt justice against itself, in favor of citizens." This majestic legislation must be read with the expansive imagination of enlightened judges, the anointed who look deeper not the opaque green eye-shade of the cloistered book-keeper!

Anyhow, if Antarctica has no law, we apply the laws of California. For the Act says on the high seas, or in space, the law to be applied is from the place the plaintiff lives. In that most modern state in this most modern country, there's a great chance of the suit succeeding. Way out there, custom, tradition, and common law are rare and little thought of. There, the rules of life are writ in signs and statutes—both are rife. "Don't build on faults." "Don't swim in tidal waves." "No smoking." "No O.D.ing at the raves." That "high seas" exception, passed in '62, implies a rule to make exceptions to. The Act itself, then, logically must have meant a worldwide waiver by the government.

To lawful men, there is no lawless place. Migrants bear the laws of their own race. Indeed, Americans in Antarctica are under U.S. tax and criminal law. So even where there is no sovereignty, you wouldn't have the right to murder me. The international community embraces all creation, even sovereignless places, and even in unseen chasms that never thaw, its spirit says there abides a rule of law.

### The Virginia Statute For Religious Freedom

Virginia Code § 57-1

Whereas Almighty God hath created the mind free: all attempts to influence it by temporal punishments or burthens, or by civil incapacitations, tend only to beget habits of hypocrisy and meanness, and are a departure from the plan of the Holy author of our religion, who being Lord both of body and mind, yet chose not to propagate it by coercions on either, as it was in his Almighty power to do.

The impious presumption of rulers, civil and ecclesiastical, fallible and uninspired men, assuming dominion over the faith of others, hath established and maintained false religions over the greatest part of the world, and through all time.

To compel a man to fund the propagation of opinions which he disbelieves, is sinful and tyrannical.

Even forcing him to support this or that teacher of his own persuasion, robs him of the comfortable liberty of supporting the particular pastor, whose morals he would make his pattern, and whose powers he feels most persuasive to righteousness, and denies the ministry those temporary rewards, conditioned on their personal conduct, that incite them to earnest unremitting labours for the instruction of mankind.

Our civil rights have no dependence on our religious opinions, any more than our opinions in physics or geometry; so proscribing any citizen as unworthy of confidence or office unless he profess or renounce this or that religious opinion, deprives him injuriously of our common natural right and tends only to corrupt the principles of the religion it is meant to encourage, by bribing with a monopoly of worldly honours and emoluments, those who will externally profess it; these false professors are criminal, but so are those who bait them.

To suffer the civil magistrate to intrude his powers into the field of opinion, and to restrain the profession of principles on supposition of their ill tendency, is a dangerous fallacy, which at once destroys all religious liberty.

For the rightful purposes of civil government, it is time enough to interfere when principles break out into overt acts against peace and good order.

And finally, truth is great and will prevail if left to herself, for she is the proper and sufficient antagonist to error, and has nothing to fear from the conflict, unless by human interposition she's disarmed of her natural weapons, free argument and debate, for errors cease to be dangerous when it is permitted freely to contradict them.

Therefore, be it enacted: No man shall be compelled to frequent or support any religious worship, or ministry whatsoever, nor shall be enforced, restrained, molested or burdened in body or goods, nor shall otherwise suffer for his opinions; all men shall be free to profess, and by argument to maintain their opinion in matters of religion, and it shall not affect their civil capacities.

These are the natural rights of mankind. If an act repeals or narrows this one, it shall be an infringement of natural right.

# Rex ex rel. Skallagrimson et Ux. v. Thorgeirson et Ux.

An able attorney was Egil the Exile:
First in the phone book when looking for lawyers
In Ninth-Century Norway. His weapons were words,
Wildly woven, queerly combined;
And club-wielding, head-butting, hip-breaking carnage,
Ear-ripping, shield-shattering, nose-biting bloodshed
In time-tested, truth-telling trial by combat.

Persuasive and powerful, prompt with his poetry, He pled people's cases to Kings and to councils. He was so well-connected, commanded such swordsmen, Most Kings cared to count him a friend, not a foe.

Here's how he launched his legal career:
A case came along that caused him concern.
A king tried to take the lands of his lady,
Asgerd, daughter of Thora and Bjorn,
Branding her "bastard." Back in the bad old days
Before Christianity came, as was custom,
Her father had kidnapped her mother to mate.
Norwegians know better now, but back then,
Courtship by club gave no comfort to cowards,
And nobles were those who could kill with most courage.

They initially wed without kinsmen's consent (We think she was willing, but that's not important) At the first port they stopped at, in the Shetland Islands, Where the weather had forced them to stay the whole winter.

But the King would condemn this by modern morés: To waylay a wife was not Christian, he claimed. Her father had followed her, met with her man, And contracted a marriage by mutual consent, Founding fast friendship between the two families; But all that was after the deed had been done. By that time the babe was to bastardy born. And thus Thora's father was forced, and not freely Contracting the coupling, his honor held hostage. So the King claimed. And he further contended Before her kinsmen consented, the bride Was by definition abducted, a captive,

A slave, and her daughter, born to her then, Was likewise born into lifelong bondage.

Slaves' property all belonged to the King By the law of the land. And so when Bjorn died, The King, Eirik Blood-Axe, boldly barged in, Took charge of his lands, cattle, servants and treasure, And gave them to Asgerd's younger half-sister By a second wife, more conventionally courted.

Asgerd, the heiress thus dispossessed, And Egil, her husband, heard of this crime In Iceland, where they lived, and returned To Norway, where their forebears were from, To settle old scores and claim their fair share.

Egil tried to talk sense to the half-sister's husband, Berg-Onund, got nowhere, and so gave him summons To the Gula Assembly, to be judged by its laws. And meanwhile they stayed with Lord Airinbjorn, Who was Egil's best friend, but also King Eirik's.

When winter was over, assembly-time came. Egil and Airinbjorn brought many men, But so did Berg-Onund, and so did King Eirik.

Eirik's wife was a witch, and had no sense of humor About Egil's habit of killing each man Who looked at him cross-eyed, with no concern whether Such fellows were friends or kinsmen of Kings. Such foibles had forged his life into a Saga, And fated him to have long-running lawsuits.

The Assembly took place on a level plain,
Inside a circle of hazel-wood poles
With ropes all around them. No arms were allowed
Inside the ropes, so that reason and right
Would rule the day when decisions were made,
And to give the day's losers, dubbed outlaws, some leeway
To make their escape to some exile, like Iceland
Before the winners, and everyone else
Reclaimed their weapons at "weapon-taking,"
Which marked the Assembly's end, after which

Anyone could kill any outlaw. But now
It was just getting going. Gathered at Gula
Twelve men from each county, to determine the truth
And judge every case that came forward that day.
Two of those twelve-packs were picked by his pals,
Jury selection being a key legal skill.

Egil, as plaintiff, stated his case,
Citing Asgerd's high birth and royal descent.
Berg-Onund rebutted, calling her "concubine,"
And also a slave of the King, born to outlaws.
Airinbjorn confronted the King: "You know well
How you yourself pardoned her parents completely."
Egil piped up with a poem that pled,
"Asgerd serves no small beer. Trust an oath, true-born King."

Twelve trustworthy men came to testify to
The terms of the marriage contract concluded
Between Asgerd's father and her mother's family,
Swearing they spoke it in their presence,
And that it confirmed her legitimate birth
And inheritance rights. The court was inclined
To accept their assertions, and let them be sworn,
Unless the King told them that it was forbidden.

The King said they could, but the Queen, all aquiver, Cussed out the King, and called out, "Alf Askman! Stir your men! Stop this miscarriage of justice!" Alf and his Alflings ran up, cut the ropes, Pushed down the poles and scattered the judges. General chaos broke out. Egil cried through the din, And challenged Berg-Onund to a duel, but the King Made clear that he and his men would take part, So Egil forswore a fight so unfair.

Then, asking the others to witness his words, Egil laid down a ban on his lands, Saying no one could live on them, work them or use them Lest they break the land's law, and the peace, and the gods Would rain down their wrath. Then he beat a retreat To his boats, with his men, and his ally, Airinbjorn. The King would not kill him right there and then, As all were unarmed. But he promptly pursued him, Looted his longship, and killed all aboard. But Egil and eighteen men, in a boat, Escaped him, returning to Asgerd and Airinbjorn. On their way he killed the King's helmsman, while having A close call, passing his ship in the night. The King then declared him an outlaw, fair game.

Egil took time to drop in on Berg-Onund,
Killing him and his cattle, all men on his farm,
Destroying all that the boat couldn't hold.
They bumped into the boat of the King's pre-teen son,
Or rather, they rammed it, received no resistance,
And slaughtered the son, and all of his shipmates.
Then they looted Berg-Onund's father's farm,
Letting everyone flee—what friendly forbearance!

Egil then grabbed a horse's head which was handy, Happened to be lying around, I suppose, As heads generally were, when Egil was present, And speared it upon a sharp hazel pole Which he crammed in a crevice of a crag by the beach, Turning the head towards the land, while incanting: "I set up this scorn-pole, turning its scorn On the King and the Queen, and also on all The guardian nature spirits of this, The land that they rule, and sending the spirits Astray, so that they may find no resting-place 'Til they drive the King and Queen from their land." He carved the whole curse in runes there, then rested.

Egil and Asgerd went home to Iceland,
And King Eirik fell into some family feud,
Losing the kingdom to Hakon, his brother,
Foster-son of Athelstan, King of the English,
A great friend of Egil's. So Eirik and all
Of his armies, outnumbered, fled Norway, and went
A-Viking, raiding in Scotland and England.
Athelstan, with armies, came to confront him,
And cut him a deal, that he could rule Yorkshire,
Defending the borders from the Irish and Scots
(Though "vassals," a couple of treacherous lots).

After some years, Egil yearned for his land Back in Norway, and went there, bringing King Hakon Witnesses, oaths, and good words from Athelstan. The King would not grant him justice directly Saying he'd rather not get in the middle Of a painful and personal fight with his family. "My relatives think that you've thrown a stone that's too heavy for you in your dealings with them. My advice is to prosper in Iceland, in peace." Egil asked how the King could keep quiet: "They say you are writing a book of the laws, Of the rights of every man in the kingdom. Let me fight for my rights like anyone else."

The King said he could. So back to the farm, Now held by Berg-Onund's brother, called Atli The Short, Egil went, and demanded the land. "I reopen the offer I made to Berg-Onund To have the case settled by the Gula Assembly In accordance with that assembly's laws. I will summons you there." "I'll go," Atli answered.

So back to the annual Assembly at Gula They went. Egil pled his case. Atli replied: "It's proper the poet fares from afar: He should give me my man-gold for killing my kin On the land that King Eirik, whose word was the law, Awarded to them." He tendered twelve men To swear that the land was his, and not Egil's.

Egil objected to accepting the oath
And offered to Atli a duel to the death
In accordance with the Assembly's laws,
Which let either party proffer a duel
To decide any action. Atli agreed:
"You wrested the words right out of my mouth:
I could pay you the property to safeguard my skin,
But that would besmirch the law's blessing, my birthright,
And besides, I feel justice stands afar off
"Til I bathe in your blood, avenging my brothers."
And so they shook hands, agreed on procedure.

There were few formalities: the fighters were furnished With halberd and helmet, shield, sword and spear. A huge old bull was brought out, for the victor To slaughter and sacrifice at the end. They got down to business, hacked hard and fast. Atli the Short was strong, brave and unyielding, A veteran at dueling, and a sorcerer, too.

Soon both of their shields started to splinter. Atli tossed his away, and hacked with two hands. Egil's sword Slicer scored many hits, But none of them bit—Atli had sword-proofed His skin with some spell. Egil's shield was in shambles, So he threw down his shield and his sword, ran at Atli, Pushing him over, sprawling on top of him, And bit through his throat, so his breath and his blood Both left him. He hoisted the bull by the horns And, with his other hand, by the nostrils, Bowling-ball style, slammed it down on its back, Broke its neck, pronounced a new poem composed In the combat, and calmly collected his winnings. Egil's Saga recounts many other adventures: Viking-raids, wars, and all kinds of sinning, And approximately where he buried his treasure.

If single combat seems savage and cruel, It was. But think how many wouldn't have died If the original parties had just had their duel At the first assembly! Instead, greed and pride And vengeance-lust gave a great blood-feud its fuel. That's why the law tried to bring violence inside By degrees, slowly adding rule after rule. But let's not imagine the law's purified: Force still remains its definitive tool.

#### Butler v. Crouch

2 Dyer 266b, 73 ER 592, 9&10 Eliz. I Court of Common Pleas, 1568

Crouch was a villein-regardant:
a slave, that is, to the manor tied
from before he was born until after he died,
bound to do "villein-services,"
tasks unfit for a free man to do.
His right to be there, his right to exist,
he held by performing his lord's every whim.
"He knows not in the evening what he'll do in the morning"
is the classic description of a villein's estate.
And all he might own would belong to his lord,
and so would his children; they'd be villeins, too.

In 1466, his son said, "Dad, a career in slavery seems kind of sad. I must go and seek my fortune, meaning no disrespect."
The son left Gloucestershire for good, and never returned to the neighborhood, never did villein-services, and all who knew him considered him free, for he carried himself so erect.
He settled in Somerset, where he died sixty years after he left.

And in those sixty years, poor King Henry was slain, as was Edward V, before he could reign; the Wars of the Roses came to an end when King Richard lost crown, life and horse. Another Henry took the throne, and after him another, styled "Defender of the Faith." The Portuguese sailed around Africa's cape, which no man had done before. The Spaniards discovered America, threw off the Moors and cast out the Jews; the printing press was introduced; Luther discovered Protestantism, and the Pope unloosed his Jesuit horde, causing widespread sectarian war.

And when Crouch died, King Henry was beginning to ponder divorce.

And after the son died, his son, Will Crouch, lived on the land where his father had died for another forty years. He amassed considerable property, and all who knew him considered him free. He never set foot on the manor land, never did villein-services, perhaps never knew what "villein" means.

And in those forty years we've had eight or nine queens, give or take Lady Jane Grey.

We've all changed religions three or four times;

Each time, thousands were burned and beheaded for their spiritual crimes.

This brave new age made the lord grow greedy, or else its turmoils left him needy, for he leased Crouch's land to Butler, saying, "He's my villein, what's his is mine." Crouch ejected the lessee, who fought back, filed counter-ejectments to counterattack, and a jury was summoned from Somerset, esquires and gentlemen all. They gathered the facts and sorted them out, and sent to this court one dispositive question: whether Crouch be a villein, or no?

# DYER, C.J.:

Milord should improve his own estates, and not filch food from poor men's plates. For while he dreams of knight-errantry, we've grown as modern as we can be. We interact as man with man, and not as pawns in some feudal plan. We have no truck with medieval ways. And lands change hands like a watch these days! So must each buyer or lessee vet each past owner's pedigree,

then trace each grantor and executrix, and their bloodlines back to 1066? Though two of us, privately, think maybe so, our reply to the jury is, "No."